



ఆంధ్ర ప్రదేశ్ రాజ పత్రము

THE ANDHRA PRADESH GAZETTE
PART-VII EXTRAORDINARY
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 1]

HYDERABAD, FRIDAY, JANUARY 2, 2009.

NOTIFICATIONS RELATING TO THE ADMINISTRATION OF PANCHAYAT RAJ

—X—
NOTIFICATIONS BY GOVERNMENT

PANCHAYAT RAJ AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

DEPARTMENTAL PROCEEDINGS AGAINST Sri ABDUL RAWOOF, ASSISTANT ENGINEER & (38) OTHERS FOR UNAUTHORIZED ABSENCE - REGULAR ENQUIRY COMPLETED - COMMUNICATION OF ENQUIRY REPORT - EXPLANATION CALLED FOR.

[Memo.No.3911/Estt.III/A2/2008-2, Panchayat Raj and Rural Development, 2nd January, 2009.]

Ref:- 1. Letter No.A3/194/2003-34, dt.07-01-2008 of the Superintending Engineer, RWS&S Circle, Uppal, Hyderabad.
 2. Letter No.A3/494/2007, dt.07-01-2008 of the Superintending Engineer, RWS&S Circle, Uppal, Hyderabad.
 3. Letter No.A3/494/2007, dt.19-05-2008 of the Superintending Engineer, RWS&S Circle, Uppal, Hyderabad.
 4. Letter No.A3/194/2003, dt.25-07-2008 of the Superintending Engineer, RWS&S Circle, Uppal, Hyderabad.

Whereas, disciplinary proceedings have been initiated against the individuals mentioned below in Column No. 2 under rule 20 of A.P. Civil Services (CC&A) Rules,1991 for their un-authorized absence by framing article of charge, vide charge Memos as mentioned in the Column No.4. The said articles of charge were not served on them directly, due to non-availability of their addresses. Due to which, the said charge memorandums were published in the A.P.Gazette as well as leading Daily News Papers. But, the Charged Officers mentioned below, at Column No.2 did not turn up. Hence, the Engineer-in-Chief, Panchayat Raj, Hyderabad has ordered for regular enquiry under sub-rule (2) of rule 20 of A.P. Civil Services (CC&A) Rules, 1991 by appointing the Superintending Engineer, Rural Water Supply, Hyderabad as Inquiring Authority to enquire into the charge framed against the said charged officers vide references mentioned in the Column No.4 as against the each Charged Officer.

2. And whereas, the Inquiry Officer, after conducting enquiry as per the provisions laid down under rule 20 of A.P. Civil Services (CC&A) Rules, 1991, submitted his finding holding that the charge framed against the each delinquent officer mentioned below at Column No.2 is proved.

Sl. No.	Name of the Officer & Designation	Period of unauthorised absence.	Reference for initiation of Departmental proceedings by the Engineer-in-Chief, P.R., Hyderabad.	Reference of Appointment of Inquiry Officer & Presenting Officer issued by the ENC.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
<i>Sarvasri</i>				
1.	Abdul Rawoof, Assistant Engineer	01-02-2001 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(2)/10614/2003-1, dt.10-06-2003	Vig. II(4)/ 10599/2003, dt. 31-05-2007
2.	Syed Rasheed Ahmed Khadri, Assistant Engineer	01-08-1998 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(2)/10614/2003-2, dt.10-06-2003	-do-
3.	Syed Yousuf, Assistant Engineer	01-08-2000 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(2)/10614/2003-3, dt.10-06-2003	-do-
4.	R. Vinod Singh, Assistant Engineer	01-06-1998 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(2)/10614/2003-4, dt.10-06-2003	-do-
5.	Siraj Ali Khan, Assistant Engineer	01-06-1996 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(2)/10614/2003-5, dt.10-06-2003	-do-
6.	Hanumantha Rao, Assistant Engineer	01-02-1994 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(2)/10614/2003-6, dt.10-06-2003	-do-
7.	A.Rajeswara Rao, Assistant Engineer	01-03-1994 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(2)/10614/2003-7, dt.10-06-2003	-do-
8.	J. Chandraiah, Assistant Engineer	01-06-1996 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(2)/10614/2003-8, dt.10-06-2003	-do-
9.	Md. Tajuddin, Assistant Engineer	01-03-1994 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(2)/10614/2003-9, dt.10-06-2003	-do-
10.	K. Satyanarayana, Assistant Engineer	01-12-1993 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(2)/10614/2003-11, dt.10-06-2003	-do-
11.	Md. Anwaruddin, Assistant Engineer	01-12-1993 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(2)/10614/2003-12, dt. 10-06-2003	-do-
12.	D. Ganga Kishan, Assistant Engineer	01-12-1993 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(2)/10614/2003-14, dt. 10-06-2003	-do-
13.	D. Venkateswarulu, Assistant Engineer	01-12-1998 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(2)/10614/2003-15, dt. 10-06-2003	-do-
14.	D. Dhana Raj, Assistant Engineer	01-12-1995 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(2)/10614/2003-16, dt. 10-06-2003	-do-
15.	A. Hanumantha Rao, Assistant Executive Engineer	13-05-1997 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(3)/10599/2003, dt. 10-06-2003	-do-
16.	N. Dayanand Mathues, Assistant Executive Engineer	11-06-1990 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(3)/10599/2003, dt. 10-06-2003	-do-
17.	U. Shankaraiah, Assistant Executive Engineer	01-11-1995 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(3)/10599/2003, dt.10-06-2003	-do-
18.	N. Latha Nair, Assistant Executive Engineer	25-10-2000 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(3)/10599/2003, dt.10-06-2003	-do-
19.	S.L.S. Banu, Assistant Executive Engineer	17-05-1999 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(3)/10599/2003, dt.15-06-2003	-do-

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
20.	M. Anjaneyulu, Assistant Executive Engineer	16-01-1997 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(3)/10599/2003, dt.10-06-2003	-do-
21.	Md. Rafiuddin, Assistant Executive Engineer	17-05-1999 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(3)/10599/2003, dt. 10-06-2003	-do-
22.	D. Srinivasa Naik, Assistant Executive Engineer	17-05-1999 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(3)/10599/2003, dt.10-06-2003	-do-
23.	P. Vijay Kumar, Assistant Executive Engineer	17-05-1999 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(3)/10599/2003, dt. 10-06-2003	-do-
24.	Md. Nuruddin Abid, Assistant Executive Engineer	17-05-1999 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(3)/10599/2003, dt.10-06-2003	-do-
25.	Syed Masood Moinuddin, Assistant Executive Engineer	10-11-1999 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(3)/10599/2003, dt.10-06-2003	-do-
26.	B. Arun Bushan, Assistant Executive Engineer	10-11-1999 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(3)/10599/2003, dt.10-06-2003	-do-
27.	Dasyam Sharada, Assistant Executive Engineer	01-12-2000 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(3)/10599/2003, dt.10-06-2003	-do-
28.	K. Suresh, Assistant Executive Engineer	01-12-2000 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(3)/10599/2003, dt. 10-06-2003	-do-
29.	B. Dorababu, Assistant Executive Engineer	01-08-2000 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI(3)/10599/2003, dt.15-06-2003	-do-
30.	J. Borranna, Assistant Executive Engineer	10-11-1999 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI/1/19773/97, dt. 03-03-2001	-do-
31.	B. Srinivasa Rao, Assistant Executive Engineer	02-09-1998 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI/3/31190/2003, dt.16-05-2003	-do-
32.	B. Sukrutha Devi, Assistant Executive Engineer	22-02-1998 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI/3/17296/2003, dt. 23-05-2003	-do-
33.	M.V. Bhavani, Assistant Executive Engineer	22-02-1998 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI/3/6415/2002, dt. 29-08-2002	-do-
34.	Ch. Balaji, Assistant Executive Engineer	17-02-2000 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI/3/4948/2003, dt.10-04-2003	-do-
35.	M. Muralidhar, Assistant Executive Engineer	31-10-2001 onwards	Memo. No. D. VI/3/2386/2003, dt.28-02-2003	-do-
36.	P. Srinivas, Assistant Executive Engineer	01-09-2004 onwards	Memo. No. Vig.II(4)/23603/98, dt.23-03-2007	Vig.II(4)/23603/1998, dt. 31-05-2007
37.	G.R. Sunanda Gopal, Assistant Executive Engineer	14-04-1990 onwards	Memo. No.A2/579/89, dt. 21-11-1990	Vig.II(4)/10599/2003, dt. 31-05-2007
38.	Smt.U. Kranthi, Assistant Executive Engineer	19-11-2000 onwards	Memo. No.D.VI(3)/13499/2003, dt. 03-05-2003	-do-
39.	Md. Mustaq Ahmed, Deputy Executive Engineer	01-07-2000 onwards	Memo. No.C.6(1)/27224/98, dt. 31-12-2002.	Vig.II(4)/27224/1998, dt. 22-05-2007

3. As required under sub-rule (4) rule 20 of A.P. Civil Services (CC&A) Rules, 1991 a copy for the Inquiry Report is communicated herewith to the delinquent officers mentioned above in Column No.2. The said delinquent Officers, are directed to submit their explanations, if any, on the findings of the Inquiry Officer, within (10) days from the date of receipt of this memo or from the date of publication of Memo in A.P. Gazette, failing which it is construed that they have no explanation to offer and further action will be taken based on the material available with the Government.

4. Receipt of the Memo shall be acknowledged.

To,
The Delinquent Officers concerned.
(thro: the ENC., PR., Hyderabad)

COMMON ENQUIRY REPORT AGAINST SRI ABDUL RAWOOF, A.E., SRI SYED RASHEED AHMED KHADRI, A.E., SRI SYED YOUSUF, A.E., SRI R.VINOD SINGH, A.E., SRI SIRAJ ALI KHAN, A.E., SRI HANUMANTHA RAO, A.E., SRI RAJESWARA RAO, A.E., SRI J.CHANDRAIAH, A.E., SRI MD.TAJUDDIN, A.E., SRI K.SATYANARAYANA, A.E., MD.ANWARUDDIN AHED, A.E., D.GANGA KISHAN, A.E., D.VENKATESWARLU, A.E., G.DHANA RAJ, A.E., SRI A.HANUMANTHA RAO, A.E.E., SRI N.DAYANAND MATHUES, A.E.E., SRI U.SHANKARAIAH, A.E.E., SRI N.LATHA NAIR, A.E.E., S.L.S. BANU, A.E.E., SRI M.ANJANEYULU, A.E.E., SRI MD.RAFIUDDIN, A.E.E., SRI D.SRINIVASA NAIK, A.E.E., P.VIJAY KUMAR, A.E.E., SRI MD.NURUDDIN ABID, A.E.E., SRI SYED MASOOD MOINUDDIN, A.E.E., SRI B.ARUN BUSHAN, A.E.E., DASYAM SHARADA, A.E.E., SRI K.SURESH, A.E.E., SRI B.DORA BABU, A.E.E., SRI J.BORANNA, A.E.E., SRI B.SRINIVASA RAO, A.E.E., B.SUKRUTHA DEVI, A.E.E., M.V.BHAVANI, A.E.E., SRI CH.BALAJI, A.E.E., SRI M.MURALIDHAR, A.E.E OF PR & RD OF ZONE-VI :

\$\$\$

The Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad through their Proceedings No.Vig.II (4)/ 10599/03, Dated 31-05-2007 appointed the under signed as the Inquiry Authority and the Executive Engineer, RWS Division, Hyderabad as Presenting Officer to enquire in to the charges frames against the following:

- 1) SRI ABDUL RAWOOF, A.E.,
- 2) SRI SYED RASHEED AHMED KHADRI, A.E.,
- 3) SRI SYED YOUSUF, A.E.,
- 4) SRI R.VINOD SINGH, A.E.,
- 5) SRI SIRAJ ALI KHAN, A.E.,
- 6) SRI HANUMANTHA RAO, A.E.,
- 7) SRI RAJESWARA RAO, A.E.,
- 8) SRI J.CHANDRAIAH, A.E.,
- 9) SRI MD.TAJUDDIN, A.E.,
- 10) SRI K.SATYANARAYANA, A.E.,
- 11) MD.ANWARUDDIN AHMED, A.E.,
- 12) D.GANGA KISHAN, A.E.,
- 13) D.VENKATESWARLU, A.E.,
- 14) G.DHANA RAJ, A.E.,
- 15) SRI A.HANUMANTHA RAO, A.E.E.,
- 16) SRI N.DAYANAND MATHUES, A.E.E.,
- 17) SRI U.SHANKARAIAH, A.E.E.,
- 18) SRI N.LATHA NAIR, A.E.E.,
- 19) S.L.S. BANU, A.E.E.,
- 20) SRI M.ANJANEYULU, A.E.E.,
- 21) SRI MD.RAFIUDDIN, A.E.E.,
- 22) SRI D.SRINIVASA NAIK, A.E.E.,
- 23) P.VIJAY KUMAR, A.E.E.,
- 24) SRI MD.NURUDDIN ABID, A.E.E.,
- 25) SRI SYED MASOOD MOINUDDIN, A.E.E.,
- 26) SRI B.ARUN BUSHAN, A.E.E.,
- 27) DASYAM SHARADA, A.E.E.,
- 28) SRI K.SURESH, A.E.E.,
- 29) SRI B.DORA BABU, A.E.E.,
- 30) SRI J.BORANNA, A.E.E.,
- 31) SRI B.SRINIVASA RAO, A.E.E.,
- 32) B.SUKRUTHA DEVI, A.E.E.,
- 33) M.V.BHAVANI, A.E.E.,
- 34) SRI CH.BALAJI, A.E.E.,
- 35) SRI M.MURALIDHAR, A.E.E

In pursuance of the above proceedings, the delinquent officers have been requested to attend before the undersigned to enquire in to the charges against them on the specified dates, through notification given in the daily papers i.e. Vaartha, Praja Shakti, Hindi Milap Munsif, which are published on 30-11-2007.

But no delinquent officer has been present before the undersigned on the specified dates. As such enquiry has been held Ex-parte. The presenting officer, presented cases before the Enquiry Officer.

The following is the charge framed against Sri Abdul Rawoof, Asst. Engineer.

Charge :

that the said Sri Abdul Rawoof, Assistant Engineer abstained from the duty since (10) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

Basis :

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Sri Abdul Rawoof, Assistant Engineer who was appointed as Assistant Engineer in the year 1969 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interest in his job and it is construed that he is doing job else where without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri Abdul Rawoof, Assistant Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 01-02-2001, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri Syed Rasheed Ahmed Khadri, Assistant Engineer

Charge :

that the said Sri Syed Rasheed Ahmed Khadri, Assistant Engineer abstained from the duty since (10) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Sri Syed Rasheed Ahmed Khadri, Assistant Engineer who was appointed as Assistant Engineer in the year 1969 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interest in his job and it is construed that he is doing job elsewhere without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri Syed Rasheed Ahmed Khadri, Assistant Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 01-08-1998, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri Syed Yousuf, Assistant Engineer

Charge :

that the said Sri Syed Yousuf, Assistant Engineer abstained from the duty since (10) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

Basis :

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Sri Syed Yousuf, Assistant Engineer who was appointed as Assistant Engineer in the year 1971 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interest in his job and it is construed that he is doing job elsewhere without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri Syed Yousuf, Assistant Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 01-08-2000, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri R.Vinod Singh, Asst. Engineer**Charge :**

that the said Sri R.Vinod Singh, Assistant Engineer abstained from the duty since (10) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

Basis :

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Sri R.Vinod Singh, Assistant Engineer who was appointed as Assistant Engineer in the year 1972 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interest in his job and it is construed that he is doing job elsewhere without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri R.Vinod Singh, Assistant Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 01-06-1998, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri Siraj Ali Khan, Asst. Engineer**Charge :**

that the said Sri Siraj Ali Khan, Assistant Engineer abstained from the duty since (10) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

Basis :

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Sri Siraj Ali Khan, Assistant Engineer who was appointed as Assistant Engineer in the year 1972 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interest in his job and it is construed that he is doing job elsewhere without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri Siraj Ali Khan, Assistant Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 01-06-1996, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri Hanumantha Rao, Asst.Engineer**Charge :**

that the said Sri Hanumantha Rao, Assistant Engineer abstained from the duty since (10) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

Basis :

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Sri Hanumantha Rao, Assistant Engineer who was appointed as Assistant Engineer in the year 1971 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interest in his job and it is construed that he is doing job elsewhere without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri Hanumantha Rao, Assistant Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 01-02-1994, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri A.Rajeswara Rao, Asst.Engineer**Charge :**

that the said Sri A.Rajeswara Rao, Assistant Engineer abstained from the duty since (10) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

Basis :

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Sri A.Rajeswara Rao, Assistant Engineer who was appointed as Assistant Engineer in the year 1972 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interest in his job and it is construed that he is doing job elsewhere without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri A.Rajeswara Rao, Assistant Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 01-03-1994, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri J.Chandraiah, Asst. Engineer**Charge :**

that the said Sri J.Chandraiah, Assistant Engineer abstained from the duty since (10) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

Basis :

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Sri J.Chandraiah, Assistant Engineer who was appointed as Assistant Engineer in the year 1971 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interest in his job and it is construed that he is doing job elsewhere without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri J.Chandraiah, Assistant Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 01-06-1996, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri Md.Tajuddin, Assistant Engineer**Charge :**

that the said Sri Md.Tajuddin, Assistant Engineer abstained from the duty since (10) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

Basis :

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Sri Md.Tajuddin, Assistant Engineer who was appointed as Assistant Engineer in the year 1979 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interest in his job and it is construed that he is doing job elsewhere without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri Md.Tajuddin, Assistant Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 01-03-1994, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri K.Satyanarayana, Asst.Engineer**Charge :**

that the said Sri K.Satyanarayana, Assistant Engineer abstained from the duty since (10) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

Basis :

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Sri K.Satyanarayana, Assistant Engineer who was appointed as Assistant Engineer in the year 1982 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interest in his job and it is construed that he is doing job elsewhere without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri K.Satyanarayana, Assistant Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 01-12-1993, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri Md.Anwaruddin, Asst.Engineer**Charge :**

that the said Sri Md.Anwaruddin, Assistant Engineer abstained from the duty since (10) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

Basis :

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Sri Md.Anwaruddin, Assistant Engineer who was appointed as Assistant Engineer in the year 1984 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interest in his job and it is construed that he is doing job elsewhere without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri Md.Anwaruddin, Assistant Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 01-12-1993, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri D.Ganga Kishan, Asst.Engineer**Charge :**

that the said Sri D.Ganga Kishan, Assistant Engineer abstained from the duty since (10) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

Basis :

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Sri D.Ganga Kishan, Assistant Engineer who was appointed as Assistant Engineer in the year 1991 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interest in his job and it is construed that he is doing job elsewhere without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri D.Ganga Kishan, Assistant Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 01-12-1993, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri D.Venkateswarlu, Asst.Engineer**Charge :**

that the said Sri D.Venkateswarlu, Assistant Engineer abstained from the duty since (4) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

Basis :

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Sri D.Venkateswarlu, Assistant Engineer who was appointed as Assistant Engineer in the year 1998 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interest in his job and it is construed that he is doing job elsewhere without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri D.Venkateswarlu, Assistant Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 01-12-1998, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri G.Dhana Raj, Assistant Engineer**Charge :**

that the said Sri G.Dhana Raj, Assistant Engineer abstained from the duty since (8) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

Basis :

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Sri G.Dhana Raj, Assistant Engineer who was appointed as Assistant Engineer in the year 1994 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interested in his job and it is construed that he is doing job elsewhere without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri G.Dhana Raj, Assistant Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 01-12-1995, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri A.Hanumantha Rao, Assistant Executive Engineer**Charge :**

that the said Sri A.Hanumantha Rao, Assistant Executive Engineer recruited through APPSC during the year 1989 abstained from the duty since (8) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

Basis :

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Sri A.Hanumantha Rao, Assistant Executive Engineer who was appointed as Asst. Executive Engineer through APPSC in the year 1989 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interested in his job and it is construed that he is doing job elsewhere without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri A.Hanumantha Rao, Assistant Executive Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 13-05-1997, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri N.Dayananda Mathews, Assistant Executive Engineer**Charge :**

that the said Sri N.Dayananda Mathews, Assistant Executive Engineer recruited through APPSC during the year 1989 abstained from the duty since (8) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

Basis :

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Sri N.Dayananda Mathews, Assistant Executive Engineer who was appointed as Asst. Executive Engineer through APPSC in the year 1989 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interest in his job and it is construed that he is doing job elsewhere without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri N.Dayananda Mathews, Assistant Executive Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 11-06-1990, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri U.Shankaraiah, Assistant Executive Engineer**Charge :**

that the said Sri U.Shankaraiah, Assistant Executive Engineer recruited as per G.O.193 abstained from the duty since (8) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

Basis :

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Sri U.Shankaraiah, Assistant Executive Engineer who was appointed as Asst. Executive Engineer through as per G.O.193 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interest in his job and it is construed that he is doing job elsewhere without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri U.Shankaraiah, Assistant Executive Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 01-11-1995, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Smt.N.Latha Nair, Assistant Executive Engineer

Charge :

that the said Smt.N.Latha Nair, Assistant Executive Engineer recruited through APPSC during the year 1992 abstained from the duty since (3) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

Basis :

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Smt.N.Latha Nair, Assistant Executive Engineer who was appointed as Asst. Executive Engineer through APPSC in the year 1992 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interest in his job and it is construed that he is doing job elsewhere without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Smt.N.Latha Nair, Assistant Executive Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 25-10-2000, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Smt. SLS Banu, Assistant Executive Engineer

Charge :

that the said Smt. SLS Banu, Assistant Executive Engineer recruited through APPSC during the year 1992 abstained from the duty since (4) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

Basis :

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Smt. SLS Banu, Assistant Executive Engineer who was appointed as Asst. Executive Engineer through APPSC in the year 1992 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interest in his job and it is construed that he is doing job elsewhere without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Smt. SLS Banu, Assistant Executive Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 17-05-1999, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri M.Anjaneyulu, Assistant Executive Engineer

Charge :

that the said Sri M.Anjaneyulu, Assistant Executive Engineer recruited through APPSC during the year 1992 abstained from the duty since (8) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

Basis :

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Sri M.Anjaneyulu, Assistant Executive Engineer who was appointed as Asst. Executive Engineer through APPSC in the year 1992 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interest in his job and it is construed that he is doing job elsewhere without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri M.Anjaneyulu, Assistant Executive Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 16-01-1997, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri Md.Rafiuddin, Assistant Executive Engineer

Charge :

that the said Sri Md.Rafiuddin, Assistant Executive Engineer recruited through APPSC during the year 1992 abstained from the duty since (8) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

Basis :

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Sri Md.Rafiuddin, Assistant Executive Engineer who was appointed as Asst. Executive Engineer through APPSC in the year 1992 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interest in his job and it is construed that he is doing job elsewhere without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri Md.Rafiuddin, Assistant Executive Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 17-05-1999, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri D.Srinivasa Naik, Assistant Executive Engineer

Charge :

that the said Sri D.Srinivasa Naik, Assistant Executive Engineer recruited through APPSC during the year 1992 abstained from the duty since (8) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

Basis :

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Sri D.Srinivasa Naik, Assistant Executive Engineer who was appointed as Asst. Executive Engineer through APPSC in the year 1992 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interest in his job and it is construed that he is doing job elsewhere without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri D.Srinivasa Naik, Assistant Executive Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 17-05-1999, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri P.Vijaya Kumar, Assistant Executive Engineer**Charge :**

that the said Sri P.Vijaya Kumar, Assistant Executive Engineer recruited through APPSC during the year 1992 abstained from the duty since (8) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

Basis :

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Sri P.Vijaya Kumar, Assistant Executive Engineer who was appointed as Asst. Executive Engineer through APPSC in the year 1992 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interest in his job and it is construed that he is doing job elsewhere without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri P.Vijaya Kumar, Assistant Executive Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 17-05-1999, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri Md.Nuruddin Abid, Assistant Executive Engineer

Charge :

that the said Sri Md.Nuruddin Abid, Assistant Executive Engineer recruited through APPSC during the year 1992 abstained from the duty since (8) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

Basis :

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Sri Md.Nuruddin Abid, Assistant Executive Engineer who was appointed as Asst. Executive Engineer through APPSC in the year 1992 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interest in his job and it is construed that he is doing job elsewhere without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri Md.Nuruddin Abid, Assistant Executive Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 17-05-1999, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri Syed Masood Moinuddin, Assistant Executive Engineer

Charge :

that the said Sri Syed Masood Moinuddin, Assistant Executive Engineer recruited through APPSC during the year 1992 abstained from the duty since (8) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

Basis :

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Sri Syed Masood Moinuddin, Assistant Executive Engineer who was appointed as Asst. Executive Engineer through APPSC in the year 1992 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interest in his job and it is construed that he is doing job elsewhere without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri Syed Masood Moinuddin, Assistant Executive Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 10-11-1999, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri B.Arun Bhushan, Assistant Executive Engineer**Charge :**

that the said Sri B.Arun Bhushan, Assistant Executive Engineer recruited through APPSC during the year 1992 abstained from the duty since (8) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

Basis :

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Sri B.Arun Bhushan, Assistant Executive Engineer who was appointed as Asst. Executive Engineer through APPSC in the year 1992 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interest in his job and it is construed that he is doing job elsewhere without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri B.Arun Bhushan, Assistant Executive Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 10-11-1999, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Smt.Dasyam Sharada, Assistant Executive Engineer**Charge :**

that the said Smt.Dasyam Sharada, Assistant Executive Engineer recruited through APPSC during the year 1997 abstained from the duty since (4) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

Basis :

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Smt.Dasyam Sharada, Assistant Executive Engineer who was appointed as Asst. Executive Engineer through APPSC in the year 1997 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interest in his job and it is construed that he is doing job elsewhere without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Smt.Dasyam Sharada, Assistant Executive Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 01-12-2000, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri K.Suresh, Assistant Executive Engineer**Charge :**

that the said Sri K.Suresh, Assistant Executive Engineer recruited through APPSC during the year 1997 abstained from the duty since (4) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

Basis :

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Sri K.Suresh, Assistant Executive Engineer who was appointed as Asst. Executive Engineer through APPSC in the year 1997 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interest in his job and it is construed that he is doing job elsewhere without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri K.Suresh, Assistant Executive Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 01-12-2000, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri B.Dora Babu, Assistant Executive Engineer

Charge :

that the said Sri B.Dora Babu, Assistant Executive Engineer recruited through APPSC during the year 1997-98 abstained from the duty since (4) years in contravention of CCA (Conduct) Rules and failed to obtain prior permission of sanction of leave from competent authority.

Basis :

It has been brought to the notice of the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad that Sri B.Dora Babu, Assistant Executive Engineer who was appointed as Asst. Executive Engineer through APPSC in the year 1997 has stayed away from duty unauthorisedly. From this it is evident that he is not interest in his job and it is construed that he is doing job elsewhere without the knowledge of this department. It amounts to willful unauthorized absence without prior permission/sanction/intimation. Thus he is willfully absconding from the duty causing dislocation of official work besides violation of CCA Rules.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri B.Dora Babu, Assistant Executive Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 01-08-2000, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri J.Boranna, Assistant Executive Engineer

Charge :

that the said Sri J.Boranna, Assistant Executive Engineer (PR) on repatriation from APHMHIDC, was posted to Superintending Engineer, (RWS) Circle, Nizamabad vide Proceedings No.DVI/1/19773/97, Dated 08-11-1999 has not joined duty and absconded from duties w.e.f. 10-11-1999 which amounts to disobedience resulting in gross indiscipline.

Basis :

The Superintending Engineer, (PR) RWS, Nizamabad vide his Lr.No.A2/144/99, Dated 14-03-2000 has reported that Sri J.Boranna, Asst. Executive Engineer who was allotted to his circle by the Engineer-in-Chief, (PRED), Hyderabad vide Proceedings No.DVI/1/19773/97, Dated 08-11-1999 has been re-allotted to RWS Division, Sadashivpet for being posted to RWS Sub-Division, Narayankhed vide his Proceedings No.A2/144/99, Dated 11-11-1999. But the Delinquent Officer has not joined duty till 25-02-2000 as reported by Executive Engineer, (RWS), Sangareddy vide Lr.No.A4/21/2000, Dated 25-02-2000.

The Executive Engineer further reported that the Deputy Executive Engineer (RWS) Armoor personally approached to the leave address of the individual 07-11-2000. But the individual is not available at the leave address of Jankampet (V) Velupu (Mandal) Nizamabad District Pin 503307. He could not get reply from his mother and brother Sri Kanthaiah, regarding whereabouts of the individual.

From the above it is evident that the Delinquent Officer not only disobeyed the orders but also absconded w.e.f. 11-11-1999 and this amounts to gross indiscipline.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri J.Boranna, Assistant Executive Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 10-11-1999, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri B.Srinivas Rao, Assistant Executive Engineer

Charge :

that the said Sri B.Srinivas Rao, Assistant Executive Engineer, Office of the Deputy Executive Engineer (RWS) Chevella Hyderabad, is absconding from duty since 02-09-1998 to till to date without prior permission and sanction from competent authorities thus violating the rule (3) of APSCS (Conduct) rule 1964 which amounts to gross indiscipline.

Basis :

The Superintending Engineer, RWS, Hyderabad vide his Lr.No.A3/44/96, Dated 23-11-1998 has reported that Sri B.Srinivas Rao, Asst. Executive Engineer, Office of the Deputy Executive Engineer (RWS) Chevella, R.R.District Sub-Division, is absconding from duty w.e.f. 02-09-1998 to till to date unauthorisedly, and therefore surrendered to Office of the Engineer-in-Chief, (PRED), Hyderabad.

Further vide this office Memo.No.DV I/1/31190/98, Dated 09-04-1999 Sri B.Srinivas Rao, Asst. Executive Engineer was directed to report before Engineer-in-Chief, (PRED), Hyderabad immediately. But the individual has failed to report before Engineer-in-Chief, (PRED), Hyderabad.

From the above it is evident that he is absconding from duty and thus he violated Rule (3) of APSCS (Conduct) Rules 1964.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer B.Srinivas Rao, Assistant Executive Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 02-09-1998, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Smt.B.Sukrutha Devi, Assistant Executive Engineer**Charge :**

that the said Smt.B.Sukrutha Devi, Assistant Executive Engineer while working in division office, Medak has proceeded on leave from 22-02-1998 without prior sanction of the leave from the competent authority and later on she requested to sanction medical leave without medical certificate from 22-02-1998 to 28-03-1998 and so far not reported to duty which amount to indiscipline and violation of rule 3 of APSCS (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

Basis :

The Superintending Engineer, RWS, Nizamabad vide his Lr.No.A2/29/98, Dated 23-06-1998 has reported that, Smt.B.Sukrutha Devi, Asst. Executive Engineer, O/o SD, Medak has joined duty 06-02-1998 and absent to duty from 22-02-1998 without sanction of leave from competent authority. Later on she applied leave dated 09-03-1998 requesting for sanction of medical leave for 5 weeks from 22-02-1998 to 28-03-1998 without enclosing medical certificate. The said Asst.Executive Engineer has not reported duty till to date.

From the above, it clearly evident that she is availing leave unauthorisedly without sanction of leave by competent authority. Thus she violated rule 3 of APSCS (Conduct) Rules 1964.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Smt.B.Sukrutha Devi, Assistant Executive Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 22-02-1998, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Smt.M.V.Bhavani, Assistant Executive Engineer

Charge :

that the said Smt.M.V.Bhavani, Assistant Executive Engineer while working on deputation in APHMHIDC, Hyderabad has proceeded on leave from 29-03-2001 to till to date without prior sanction of the leave from the competent authority which amounts to indiscipline and violation of rule 3 of APCS (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

Basis :

The MD, APHMHIDC, Hyderabad, vide his Lr.No.5/XXII/ES1/99/14627, Dated 28-03-2000 has reported that, Smt.M.V.Bhavani while working on deputation has applied leave from 29-03-2000 to 28-09-2000 and hence she was repatriated to parent department.

Since, she is availing leave in temporary status, she can not avail leave for more than (90) days. She was therefore directed to appear before ENC for obtaining posting orders vide this office Memo.No.D.VI (1)/6291/98, Dated 09-05-2002. But she did not repatriated to duty and extended leave by piece meal she was again reminded in the matter on 01-08-2000, 03-11-2000 and 09-05-2002. But she failed to join duty and continued to avail leave unauthorisedly for which she was not entitled.

From the above, it clearly evident that she is availing leave unauthorisedly without sanction of leave by competent authority. Thus she violated rule 3 of APCS (Conduct) Rules 1964.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Smt.M.V.Bhavani, Assistant Executive Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 22-02-1998, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri Ch.Balaji, Assistant Executive Engineer

Charge :

that the said Sri Ch.Balaji, Assistant Executive Engineer while working at RWS, Project Division, Eluru Dist. has applied leave from 17-02-2000 and extended upto 27-08-2000 and absconded from the duty till to date. Thus, violating of rule 3 of APCS (Conduct) Rules, 1964 which amounts to gross indiscipline.

Basis :

The Superintending Engineer, RWS, Eluru vide his Lr.No.38/A2/2000, Dated 06-03-2003 has reported that Sri Ch.Balaji, Asst.Executive Engineer, RWS, Project Division, Eluru has applied leave from 17-02-2000 and extended upto 27-08-2000 and absconded from the duty till to date unauthorisedly. He is availing leave without permission of competent authority.

From the above, it clearly evident that he is availing leave unauthorisedly and thus he violated rule 3 of APCS (Conduct) Rules 1964.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri Ch.Balaji, Assistant Executive Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 17-02-2000, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Sri M.Muralidhar, Assistant Executive Engineer

Charge :

that the said Sri M.Muralidhar, Assistant Executive Engineer while working at RWS, Sub-Division, Narayanakhed, Medak Dist. has proceeded on leave from 31-10-2001 to till to date without prior sanction of leave and without permission of competent authority to avail leave in violation of rule 3 of APCS (Conduct) Rules, 1964 which amounts to gross indiscipline.

Basis :

The Superintending Engineer, RWS, Sangareddy at Sadashivpet vide his Lr.No.A1/110/2003, Dated 28-01-2003 has reported that Sri M.Muralidhar, Asst.Executive Engineer, while working at RWS, Sub-Division, Narayanakhed, Medak Dist. has proceeded on leave from 31-10-2001 to till to date unauthorisedly.

He is availing leave in temporary status and can not avail leave for more than 90-days.

From the above, it clearly evident that he is availing leave unauthorisedly and thus he violated rule 3 of APCS (Conduct) Rules 1964.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri M.Muralidhar, Assistant Executive Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 31-10-2001, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

ENQUIRY REPORT AGAINST : P.SRINIVAS, A.E.E., (PR) OF ZONE-VI**\$\$\$**

The Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad through their Proceedings No.Vig.II (4)/ 15675/98, Dated 31-05-2007 appointed the under signed as the Inquiry Authority and the Executive Engineer, PR, Hyderabad (World Bank Section), O/o ENC, PR, Hyderabad as Presenting Officer to enquire in to the charges framed against Sri P.Srinivas, Assistant Executive Engineer.

In pursuance of the above proceedings, the delinquent officer has been requested to attend before the undersigned to enquire in to the charges against him on the specified date, through notification given in the daily papers i.e. Eenadu, Deccan Voice, Image of the City, Mir Times, Telangana Times which are published on 24-11-2007.

But the delinquent officer has not attended before the undersigned on the specified date. As such enquiry has been held Ex-parte. The presenting officer, presented case before the Enquiry Officer.

The following is the charge framed against Sri P.Srinivas, Assistant Executive Engineer,

Charge :

that the said Sri P.Srinivas, Assistant Executive Engineer was initially proceeded on leave w.e.f. 01-09-2004 duly applying leave for one month only and in-spite of several directions he has not reported to duty. It clearly shows his willful absence from the duties and not obeyed the orders of the higher authorities. Thus he violated the Rule 3 of APSC (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

Basis :

Sri P.Srinivas, Assistant Executive Engineer of Zone-VI has initially proceeded on leave w.e.f. 01-09-2004 duly applying leave for one month and later submitted his extension letters up to 24-01-2005 and further information not received from the individual.

As such, a notice was issued, vide this office Memo dated 05-09-2006 and directed to report to duty otherwise disciplinary action will be initiated against him as per CCA Rules and send the same was sent to his Residential Address which is mentioned in his Service Register. But he has neither reported to duty nor submitted his leave application so far.

It clearly shows his carelessness and disobedience in his legitimate duties and absence without taking any prior permission from the competent authority.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri P.Srinivas, Assistant Executive Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 01-09-2004, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

**ENQUIRY REPORT AGAINST : SRI G.R.SUNANDA GOPAL, A.E.E AND
SMT.U.KRANTHI, A.E.E., (PR) OF ZONE-VI**

\$\$\$

The Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad through their Proceedings No.Vig.II (4)/ 110599/03, Dated 31-05-2007 appointed the under signed as the Inquiry Authority and the Executive Engineer, RWS, Hyderabad as Presenting Officer to enquire in to the charges framed against the following.

1. Sri G.R.Sunanda Gopal, Assistant Executive Engineer.
2. Smt.U.Kranthi, Assistant Executive Engineer.

In pursuance of the above proceedings, the delinquent officers have been requested to attend before the undersigned to enquire in to the charges against him on 16-06-2008, through notification given in the daily papers i.e. Eenadu, Times of India, Wafadar E Hindustan, Musheer E Quam, Patriotic Views which are published on 05-06-2008.

But the delinquent officers have not attended before the undersigned on the specified date. As such enquiry has been held Ex-parte and report is submitted.

The following is the charge framed against Sri G.R.Sunanda Gopal, Assistant Executive Engineer,

Charge I :

He is unauthorized by absence from duty w.e.f. from 14-04-1990 onwards without applying leave which speaks his negligency of duty and irresponsibility.

Basis :

Sri G.R.Sunanda Gopal has worked as Assistant Executive Engineer in the O/o Superintendent, Regional Workshop, Uppal, Hyderabad. The Superintendent, Regional Workshop, Hyderabad has referred that Sri G.R.Sunanda Gopal, Assistant Executive Engineer has applied earned leave for (25) days w.e.f. from 18-07-1989 to 11-08-1989 and the same was sanctioned. The Superintendent has also reported that the Assistant Executive Engineer has extended his leave upto 13-04-1990 and the same was not sanctioned. The Superintendent has also reported that the above A.E.E has not extended his leave beyond 13-04-1990 and unauthorized by absent from duty. Evident by the A.E.E is un-authorized from duty without applying leave from 14-04-1990 onwards, which speaks his negligency of duty and irresponsibility. Hence the charge.

Charge II :

He failed to join duty willfully even after recalling to duty which speaks his negligency of duty and irresponsibility and thereby acted contrary to Government servants conduct rules.

Basis :

Sri G.R.Sunanda Gopal has worked as Assistant Executive Engineer in the O/o Superintendent, Regional Workshop, Uppal, Hyderabad. The Superintendent, Regional Workshop, Hyderabad has reported that A.E.E has applied earned leave w.e. from 18-07-1989 to 11-08-1989. The Superintendent has reported that the Assistant Executive Engineer has extended his earned leave for a period of (2) months vide application dated 19-09-1990 and the same was not sanctioned. The superintendent has also reported that the above A.E.E was instructed vide memo.No.A2/1687/88-75, Dated 21-10-1989 to resume duty within (5) days of the receipt of the said memo. But in response to the memo in question, the A.E.E has extended his leave for the months w.e. from 13-10- 1989 vide his application dated 31-10-1989. This shows clearly that the A.E.E has willfully not joined duty even after recalling to duty and extended his leave for (6) months w.e. from 13-10-1989. Evidently the A.E.E has failed to join duty willfully even after recalling to duty which speaks his negligency of duty and irresponsibility and thereby acted contrary to Government servants conduct rules. Hence the charge Sri G.R.Sunanda Gopal formerly A.E.E., Regional Workshop, Hyderabad now on leave, is therefore directed to show cause as to why suitable disciplinary action should not be taken against him on the above lapses. He is required herewith to put in any written statement he may desire to submit in his defense within (15) days of the receipt of this charge memo; failing which it would be construed that he has no defense to offer and the case will be proceeded with on the material available.

The questionnaire (Form-I) enclosed may be re-submitted duly filled in and signed by him.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case, Sri G.R.Sunanda Gopal, Assistant Executive Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 14-04-1990, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

The following is the charge framed against Smt.U.Kranthi, Assistant Executive Engineer,

Charge I :

That the said Smt.U.Kranthi, Assistant Executive Engineer while working at Vigilance and Quality Control Division, Hyderabad proceeded on leave from 19-11-2000 to till to date without prior sanction of the leave from the competent authority which amount to indisciplines and violation of rules(3) of A.P.Civil Service (Conduct) rules, 1964.

Basis :

The Chief Engineer, (PR) Vigilance, Hyderabad vide his Lr.No.CE. (Vig.)/AEE/6/2K, Dated 28-06-2001 has reported that Smt.U.Kranthi, A.E.E., while working in Vigilance and Quality Control Division, Hyderabad has proceeded on leave from 19-11-2000 without prior sanction from competent authority. Subsequently she extended leave from time to time upto 31-12-2001 inspite of instructions not to extend the leave.

Further she was directed to report before Engineer-in-Chief, (PRED), Hyderabad vide this office Memo.No.DVI/1/22355/98, Dated 09-07-2001. But she failed to join duty and continued to avail leave unauthorisedly for which she was not entitled.

From the above, it is clearly evident that she is availing leave unauthorisedly without sanction of the leave by competent authority. Thus she violated rule (3) of A.P.Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

Hence the Charge.

Findings :

As per the records and on the basis of the case, Smt.U.Kranthi, Assistant Executive Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 19-11-2000, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in her job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be initiated to terminate the services of the individual.

ENQUIRY REPORT AGAINST SRI MUSTAQ AHMED, DEPUTY EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, (PR) OF ZONE-IV

The Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad through their Proceedings No.Vig.II(4)/27224/98, Dated 22-05-2007 appointed the Undersigned as the Inquiry Authority and the Executive Engineer, PR Division, Bhongir, Nalgonda, District as Presenting Officer to enquire into the charges framed against Sri Md.Mustaq Ahmed, Deputy Executive Engineer, PR Sub-Division, Alair of PR Circle, Nalgonda.

In pursuance of the above proceedings, the delinquent officer has been requested to attend before the Undersigned to enquire into the charges against him on the specified date, through notification given in the daily papers i.e. Andhra Jyothi, Telangana Keka, Spirit of World, News Boom, Telangana Times on 08-07-2007.

But the delinquent officer has not attended before the undersigned on 13-07-2007. As such enquiry has been held Ex-Parte. The Presenting Office, presenting case before the Enquiry Officer.

The following is the charge framed against him.

Charge:

That the said Sri Md.Mushtaq Ahmed, Deputy Executive Engineer, PR Sub-Division, Alair of PR Circle, Nalgonda, while working as Deputy Executive Engineer has proceed on leave w.e.f. 01-07-2000 and extended upto 01-04-2002. Later he failed to submit his extension leave application. As such he was directed to appear before the Engineer-in-Chief, (PR), Hyderabad with regard to examine the genuinity of extension of his leave vide Engineer-in-Chief, (PR), Hyderabad Memo.No.C6(2)/27224/98, Dated 19-01-2002 and 17-06-2002. The individual has failed to attend before the Engineer-in-Chief,(PR), Hyderabad till to day and he has not submitted his extension leave application beyond 01-04-2002 and stayed away from duty after 01-04-2002 without prior permission of the competent authority which is considered to be treated as unauthorized absence and absconding from duties. As per Government Circular Memo.No.C-9101-4/8/FR.I/91, Dated 25-12-1991 of Finance and Planning (Fin Wing.FR.I) department, he has violated the prescribed procedure. This has to be treated as dereliction of duties as per CCA Rules and calls for disciplinary action against him.

Basis:

The Engineer-in-Chief,(PR), Hyderabad vide his Memo.No.C6/27224/98, Dated 19-01-2002 are on 17-06-2002 has directed to appear before the Engineer-in-Chief, (PR), Hyderabad within one week from the date of receipt of the memo without fail. But the individual has not attended before the Engineer-in-Chief, (PR), Hyderabad till to day and he has last submitted his leave application on 17-12-2001 and requested for extension of leave only upto 01-04-2002 later he has not submitted any leave application to the Engineer-in-Chief, (PR), Hyderabad and stayed away from duty without prior permission of the competent authority and this has to be treated as negligence and violation of CCA Rules.

Hence it clearly show his negligence towards obeying the instructions of higher authorities which causes much inconvenience to the Department.

Hence the Charge.

Findings:

As per the records and on the basis of the case presented by the presenting officer Sri Md.Mushtaq Ahmed, Deputy Executive Engineer has been absent from duties unauthorisedly from 01-07-2000, as such it is evident that the delinquent officer has no interest in his job and the absence is willful without prior permission.

As such necessary action may be intimated to terminate the services of the individual.

CHITRA RAMCHANDRAN,
Secretary to Government.

—X—